arXiv:hep-th/9411011v1 2 Nov 1994

Lattice electrons in constant magnetic field: Bethe like ansatz

T.Hakobyan
Yerevan Physics Institute
375036 Alikhanian Br.2, Yerevan, Armenia
e-mail: hakob@vx1.erphy.armenia.su

A.Sedrakyan
Yerevan Physics Institute
375036 Alikhanian Br.2, Yerevan, Armenia
e-mail: sedrak@vx1.erphy.armenia.su

October 1994

Abstract

We use the functional representation of Heisenberg-Weyl group and obtain equation for the spectrum of the model, which is more complicated than Bethes ones, but can be written explicitly through theta functions.

Preprint **YERPHI-1427(14)-94**

The Azbel-Hofstadter problem, i.e. the problem of Bloch electrons in magnetic field [1, 2, 3, 4] recently attracts the attention of both condensed matter and string physicists [5, 6, 7, 8]. It had been shown that dissipative Hofstadter model exhibits critical behavior on a network of lines in the dissipation magnetic field plane and the corresponding critical theories represent nontrivial solution of open string field theory, as it was observed by Callan,Folce and Freed in [7]. From the other side Wiegmann and Zabrodin [5, 6] by making explicit natural relation between the group of magnetic translations and quantum group $U_q sl_2$, using its functional representation, obtained Bethe Ansatz equations for energy spectrum of homogeneous model for one particular value of quasimomentum (midband point)

In [8] Faddeev and Kashaev obtained some Bethe Ansatz equations for all values of momentum by using another method. The functions in these equations are defined on some higher genus algebraic curve but the explicit solution is obtained only for the same particular value of quasimomentum in the nonhomogeneous case.

In this paper instead of reduction of the problem to $U_q sl_2$ we use the functional representation of Heisenberg-Weyl group and obtain equation for the spectrum of the model, which is more complicated than Bethes ones, but can be written explicitly through theta functions. Considered representation lead to cyclic representation of $U_q sl_2$ via Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, introduced in [9].

Let us recall the contents of the electron model in a constant magnetic field. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{<\vec{n},\vec{m}>} t_{\vec{n},\vec{m}} e^{iA_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}} c^{+}_{\vec{n}} c_{\vec{m}} \tag{1}$$

Here $c_{\vec{n}}, c^{+}_{\vec{n}}$ are the annihilation and creation operators of electron at site $\vec{n}$ of a two dimensional rectangular lattice, $A_{\vec{n},\vec{m}} = -A_{\vec{m},\vec{n}}$ is the vector potential of a magnetic field with the strength perpendicular to the plane of lattice and $t_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}$ are hoping amplitudes between the neighbors. We choose them t_x and t_y in the horizontal and vertical directions correspondingly. For the homogeneous model $t_x = t_y$.

Note that the hamiltonian (1) commutes with the total particle number operator $N = \sum_{\vec{n}} c^{+}_{\vec{n}} c_{\vec{n}}$. In the following we consider the diagonalization problem of (1) in one-particle sector. In this sector the action of translation operator by vector $\vec{\mu}$ can be written by

$$S_{\vec{\mu}} = \sum_{\vec{i}} |\vec{i}\rangle\langle\vec{i} + \vec{\mu}|,$$

where the standard bra- and ket- vectors are used: $|\vec{i}\rangle = c^{+}_{\vec{i}}|vac\rangle$, $\langle\vec{i}| = \langle vac|c_{\vec{i}}$.

We consider the case when the magnetic flux per plaquette

$$\exp(i\Phi) = \prod_{plaquette} \exp(iA_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}) \tag{2}$$

is rational: $\Phi = 2\pi\frac{P}{Q}$, where P and Q are mutually prime integers. The product in (2) is performed on anticlockwise direction.

Taking different gauges one can obtain various equivalent forms of (1). In the following we will use the Landau gauge, which is defined by

$$A_x = A_{\vec{n},\vec{n}+\vec{1}_x} = 0 \quad A_y = A_{\vec{n},\vec{n}+\vec{1}_y} = \Phi n_x$$

Then the Hamiltonian (1) is invariant with respect to the translations $S_{\pm y} = S_{\pm\vec{1}_y}$ and $S^Q_{\pm x} = S^Q_{\pm\vec{1}_x}$:

$$[H, S^Q_{\pm x}] = [H, S_{\pm y}] = 0$$

So, the problem of diagonalization of H reduced to its diagonalization on each eigenspace of S^Q_x and S_y ([4]). The latter is a Q-dimensional space $\Psi(\vec{k})$, spanned by Bloch wave functions

$$\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\substack{i_x = n_x \mathrm{mod} Q \\ i_y}} e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{i}}|\vec{i}\rangle,$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) &= \psi_{n_x+Q}(\vec{k}) \\ S_{\pm y}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) &= e^{\mp ik_y}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) \\ S_{\pm x}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) &= e^{\mp ik_x}\psi_{n_x\mp 1}(\vec{k}) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let us define also the generators of magnetic translations by

$$T_{\vec{\mu}} = \sum_{\vec{i}} e^{iA_{\vec{i},\vec{i}+\vec{\mu}}}|\vec{i}\rangle\langle\vec{i}+\vec{\mu}|$$

for $\vec{\mu} = \pm\vec{1}_x, \pm\vec{1}_y$. They satisfy the following relations

$$T_{\pm y}T_{\pm x} = q^{-2}T_{\pm x}T_{\pm y} \quad T_{\pm y}T_{\mp x} = q^2 T_{\mp x}T_{\pm y} \tag{4}$$

and form Heisenberg-Weyl group. Here we used the notation

$$q = \exp\left(i\frac{\Phi}{2}\right) = \exp\left(\pi i\frac{P}{Q}\right)$$

Note, that is any gauge $S^Q_{x,y} = T^Q_{x,y}$ (in the Landau gauge moreover $S_x = T_x$).

The action of magnetic translations $T_{\pm x}$, $T_{\pm y}$ on Bloch functions $\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k})$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\pm y}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) &= e^{\mp ik_y \pm in_x\Phi}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) \\ T_{\pm x}\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) &= e^{\mp ik_x}\psi_{n_x \mp 1}(\vec{k}) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The Hamiltonian (1) can be written in terms of the generators of magnetic translations by $H = t_x(T_x + T_{-x}) + t_y(T_y + T_{-y})$ ([5, 6]).

Note that on $\Psi(\vec{k})$ the Q-th power of magnetic translations are scalars:

$$T^Q_{\pm x} = e^{\mp iQk_x} \cdot \mathrm{id} \qquad T^Q_{\pm y} = e^{\mp iQk_y} \cdot \mathrm{id}$$

To define the functional form of equation $H\psi = E\psi$ let us recall the representation of Heisenberg-Weyl group (4) on the space of complex functions ([10]). It can be constructed in the following way.

Define the actions $\mathcal{S}_b$ and $\mathcal{T}_a$, $a, b \in Z$ on the space of analytic functions on the complex plain as

$$\mathcal{S}_b f(z) = f(z+b) \quad \mathcal{T}_a(\tau)f(z) = \exp(\pi i a^2\tau + 2\pi i a z)f(z + a\tau)$$

for some $\tau \in C$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_a\mathcal{S}_b = \mathcal{S}_{a+b} \quad \mathcal{T}_a(\tau)\mathcal{T}_b(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{a+b}(\tau) \quad \mathcal{S}_a\mathcal{T}_b(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i ab)\mathcal{T}_b(\tau)\mathcal{S}_a$$

Consider the space of theta functions with characteristics $\Theta^{(Q)}(z,\tau)$, which are invariant with respect to subgroup, generated by $\mathcal{S}_{\pm Q}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\pm 1}(\tau)$. They form the Q-dimensional space $\mathrm{Th}_Q(\tau)$ and have in the fundamental domain with vertices $(0, \tau, Q, Q+\tau)$ precisely Q zeroes.

The space $\mathrm{Th}_Q(\tau)$ forms an irreducible representation of Heisenberg-Weyl group (4), generated by

$$T_{\pm x} := \alpha_\pm \mathcal{T}_{\mp\frac{1}{Q}}(\tau) \qquad T_{\pm y} = \beta_\pm \mathcal{S}_{\pm P} = \beta_\pm \mathcal{S}^P_{\pm 1} \tag{6}$$

One can choose the basis $\Theta_{a,0}(z,\tau)$ of Th_Q as follows:

$$\Theta_{a,0}(z,\tau) = (\mathcal{T}_a(\tau)\Theta)(z,\tau),$$

where $a = 0, 1/Q, \ldots, (Q-1)/Q$. and $\Theta(z,\tau) = \Theta_0(z,\tau)$ is standard theta function. [1] In this basis

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}\Theta_{a,0} = \exp(\pm 2\pi i a)\Theta_{a,0}$$

---

[1] We used the standard notation of theta functions with characteristics $\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\Theta_{a,b}(z,\tau) = \Theta\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}(z,\tau) = T_a S_b \Theta(z,\tau)$$

, where $a \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbf{Z}$ mod1, $b \in \frac{1}{M}\mathbf{Z}$ mod1 ([10]).

$$\mathcal{T}_{\pm\frac{1}{Q}}\Theta_{a,0} = \Theta_{a\pm\frac{1}{Q},0}$$

Comparing these equations with (5),(6) we obtain for parameters $\alpha_\pm$, $\beta_\pm$

$$\alpha_\pm = \exp(\mp i k_x) \quad \beta_\pm = \exp(\mp i k_y)$$

The space $\Psi(\vec{k})$ is identified with the space of theta functions with characteristic $\left[\begin{array}{c} Q \\ 1 \end{array}\right]$:

$$\psi_{n_x}(\vec{k}) \sim \Theta_{\frac{n_x}{Q},0}(z,\tau)$$

The representation (5), (6), of course, is equivalent to standard one by $Q \times Q$ matrices:

$$T_x = \alpha_+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_y = \beta_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & q^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & q^{2(Q-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that one can represent the generators $J_\pm, J_0$ of quantum group $U_q sl_2$

$$[J_+, J_-] = \tfrac{q^{2J_0} - q^{-2J_0}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad q^{J_0} J_\pm q^{-J_0} = q^{\pm 1} J_\pm$$

in terms of magnetic translations ([5, 6]):

$$\begin{array}{c} J_+ = \tfrac{t_x T_x + t_y T_y}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad J_- = \mp \tfrac{t_x T_{-x} + t_y T_{-y}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ q^{2J_0} = \pm q T_x T_{-y} = \pm q^{-1} T_{-y} T_x \end{array} \tag{7}$$

This is the cyclic representation of $U_q sl_2$, earlier constructed by Floratos in [9].

The functional representation of $U_q sl_2$ for spin-j representations, used in [5, 6] for solving (1) for special value of momentum by means of Bethe Ansatz, has also the structure of (7), where $t_{x,y}$ and the generators of Heisenberg group are defined by the following

$$\begin{array}{cc} T_{\pm x}\psi(z) = -q^{\mp j + \frac{1}{2}} z^{\pm 1} \psi(q^{\pm 1} z) & T_{\pm y}\psi(z) = q^{\pm j - \frac{1}{2}} z^{\pm 1} \psi(q^{\mp 1} z) \\ t_x = q^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} & t_y = q^{j+\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Note that the representation (8) of $T_{\pm x}, T_{\pm y}$ is infinite dimensional in contrast with the representation (6). It becomes finite dimensional after its restriction (7) on quantum group.

The space $\mathrm{Th}_Q(\tau)$ have some analog with the space of polynomials of degree $Q-1$. The polynomial decomposition analog for the $\Theta^{(Q)}$ is the following.

Every $\Theta^{(Q)} \in \mathrm{Th}_Q$ can be represented in the form

$$\Theta^{(Q)}(z,\tau) = \\ \gamma \exp(-2\pi i k z/Q)\Theta\left(\frac{z-z_1}{Q},\frac{\tau}{Q}\right)\Theta\left(\frac{z-z_2}{Q},\frac{\tau}{Q}\right)\dots\Theta\left(\frac{z-z_Q}{Q},\frac{\tau}{Q}\right),$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{Q} z_i = k\tau + nQ, \quad k,n \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad 0 \le k,n < Q, \quad \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$$

Then for $H = T_x + T_{-x} + T_y + T_{-y}$ the Schrödinger equation $H\psi = E\psi$ after rescaling of the arguments of $\Theta(z,\tau)$: $z \to Qz$, $\tau \to Q\tau$ can be written in terms of products of theta functions. We'll write them in terms of

$$\Theta_1(z,\tau) := \exp\left(\frac{\pi i \tau}{4} + \frac{\pi i}{2} + \pi i z\right)\Theta\left(z + \frac{\tau+1}{2},\tau\right),$$

which obey $\Theta_1(0,\tau) = 0$, by performing additional substitution: $z \to z + \frac{1+\tau}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^P \beta_+ \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z - z_i + \frac{P}{Q},\tau) &\quad + \\ (-1)^P \beta_- \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z - z_i - \frac{P}{Q},\tau) &\quad - \\ \alpha_+ \exp\left(\pi i \tau\frac{1+2k}{Q} - 2\pi i z\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z - z_i - \frac{\tau}{Q},\tau) &\quad - \\ \alpha_- \exp\left(\pi i \tau\frac{1-2k}{Q} + 2\pi i z\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z - z_i + \frac{\tau}{Q},\tau) &\quad = \\ E\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z - z_i,\tau) & \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The roots of right and left side of (9) must coincide. So, inserting the zeroes of Θ_1 $z = z_i,\ i = 1,\dots,Q$, we obtain the system of Q equations for the parameters z_i:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^P \beta_+ \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z_j - z_i + \frac{P}{Q},\tau) &\quad + \\ (-1)^P \beta_- \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z_j - z_i - \frac{P}{Q},\tau) &\quad - \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_+ \exp\left(\pi i\tau\frac{1+2k}{Q} - 2\pi i z_j\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z_j - z_i - \frac{\tau}{Q},\tau) &\quad - \\
\alpha_- \exp\left(\pi i\tau\frac{1-2k}{Q} + 2\pi i z_j\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\Theta_1(z_j - z_i + \frac{\tau}{Q},\tau) &\quad = \quad 0
\end{aligned}$$

This is the analog of Bethe Ansatz equation for considered case. The equations (9) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^P\beta_+ \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\frac{\Theta_1(z-z_i+\frac{P}{Q},\tau)}{\Theta_1(z-z_i,\tau)} &\quad + \\
(-1)^P\beta_- \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k P}{Q}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\frac{\Theta_1(z-z_i-\frac{P}{Q},\tau)}{\Theta_1(z-z_i,\tau)} &\quad - \\
\alpha_+ \exp\left(\pi i\tau\frac{1+2k}{Q} - 2\pi i z\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\frac{\Theta_1(z-z_i-\frac{\tau}{Q},\tau)}{\Theta_1(z-z_i,\tau)} &\quad - \\
\alpha_- \exp\left(\pi i\tau\frac{1-2k}{Q} + 2\pi i z\right)\prod_{i=1}^{Q}\frac{\Theta_1(z-z_i+\frac{\tau}{Q},\tau)}{\Theta_1(z-z_i,\tau)} &\quad = \quad E
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

All 4 terms in the sum on the left hand side of (11) are elliptic functions, i.e. 2-periodic, in the fundamental domain with vertexes $(0, \tau, 1, 1+\tau)$, and each of them has precisely Q zeroes and Q poles there. Let us suggest that all poles are single. It is known that such an elliptic function can be written in the form

$$f(z) = C + \sum_{i=1}^{Q} A_i \zeta(z - z_i), \tag{12}$$

where $\zeta(z)$ is a ζ-function of Weierstrass for the same periodicity domain. Formula (12) is an analog of decomposition od rational functions with single poles in terms of functions $\frac{1}{z-z_i}$.

Putting (12) like decomposition for elliptic functions into (11) one can verify, that the conditions of cancellation of the poles precisely are coinciding with the (10),which are the equations for z_i. Then the energy E is constant function C in this decomposition.

The authors are acknowledge the Saclay theory division for hospitality, where this work was started. A.S. thanks P.Wiegmann for discussions. This work was supported by the Nato linkage grant LG 9303057 and the grant 211-5291 YPI of the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie.

References

[1] J.Zak. *Phys. Rev.*, 134:1602, 1964.

[2] M.Azbel. *Sov.Phys. JETP*, 19:634, 1964.

[3] G.H.Wannier. *Phys.Status Solidi*, 88:757, 1978.

[4] D.R.Hofstadter. *Phys. Rev.*, B14:2239, 1976.

[5] P.W.Wiegmann and A.V.Zabrodin. preprint LPTENS-93/34, September 1993.

[6] P.W.Wiegmann and A.V.Zabrodin. Quantum group and magnetic translations. Bethe anzatz for Asbel-Hofstadter problem. preprint hep-th/94, 1994.

[7] D.Freed C.Callan, A.Folce. Critical theories of the dissipative Hofstadter model. preprint PUPT-1292, CTP-2073 (hep-th/9202085), 1992.

[8] R.M.Kashaev L.D.Faddeev. Generalized Bethe ansatz equations for Hofstadter problem. preprint HU-TFT-93-63, December 1993.

[9] Floratos. *Phys. Lett.*, B233(235), 1989.

[10] Manford. Tata lectures on theta. *Progress in Mathematics*, 28, 1983.